

## South West Yorkshire Area Prescribing Committee

Date: 21<sup>st</sup> March 2017

Time: 13:00-16:00

Location: Ibbotson Room, Broad Lea House

### Action Notes

<b><u>ATTENDEES</u></b>	<b><u>APOLOGIES</u></b>
Nigel Taylor (NT) – Chair	Joanne Fitzpatrick (JF)
Becky Martin (RM) – Notes	Fozia Lohan (FL)
Sue Gough (SG)	Judith Stones (JS)
Helen Foster (HF)	Rachel Urban (RU)
Tracey Gaston (TG)	S. Roohi Azam (SRA)
Pat Heaton (PH)	Alistair Tinto (AT)
Rania Ishak (RI)	Phil Deady (PD)
John Yorke (JY)	Claire Kilburn (CK)
Chris Barraclough (CB)	Makrand Goré (MG)
Martin Shepherd (MS)	Suki Morley (SM)
Kate Dewhirst (KD)	Jaspreet Sohal (JS)
Himat Thandi (HT)	Mike Culshaw (MC)
Ruth Buchan (RB)	Dil Ashraf (DA)
Neil McDonald (NM)	

ITEM		ACTIONS	LEAD & TIMESCALES
1	<p><b><u>Welcome, introductions and apologies</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Introductions were completed for all members. Two new members welcomed to the meeting: Martin Shepherd the new Pharmacist for high cost drugs at CHFT and Becky Martin the new Project Co-ordinator, who will be supporting the work of the APC.</li> <li>• Apologies received as recorded as above.</li> </ul>	ACTION	SG/RM to send declaration of interest form out to all members for completion
2	<p><b><u>Declarations of interest</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No declarations of interest for agenda items</li> <li>• Nigel asked members to complete declaration of interest forms if not already done so and send back to SG.</li> </ul>		
3	<p><b><u>Minutes from the last meeting (date)</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Minutes reviewed and approved as an accurate record of the last meeting on 17<sup>th</sup> January 2017</li> </ul>		
4	<p><b><u>Action Log</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Action log updated</li> </ul>		
5	<p><b><u>Commissioning Statements</u></b></p> <p><b>To discuss insulin degludec and insulin degludec + liraglutide</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There has been a request from Mid-Yorkshire to use insulin degludec more widely. Currently it is approved for up to 5 patients in each Trust with further patients being dealt with via individual funding requests. • JY described feedback from CHFT from 5 patients. The feeling from clinicians is that there is a reduction in diabetic ketoacidosis</li> </ul>		



6	<p><b>Updates in development</b></p> <p><b>-Botulinum toxin type A</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•SG informed members that there are new contract prices. Indications approved by CHFT and MYHT medicines committees were added (a representative from Bradford was not attending at the time). NM was asked to check which indications had been approved in Bradford and send to SG. Where possible, it would be good practice to include in the commissioning statement indications which are licensed. CHFT had taken a clinical decision to use one product to reduce risks because the dosing of the different products varies. CHFT chose the most cost effective at the time (Botox®). MS was asked to keep this under review.</li><li>•Need to include in the commissioning statement that all NICE TA indications are commissioned.</li><li>•SG to ask Leeds what they use?</li><li>•SG to continue to work on this.</li></ul>	<b>ACTION</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) <b>NM to send SG any indications approved at BTHFT.</b></li><li>2) <b>SG to add any indications that are NICE TAs are commissioned</b></li></ul>
	<p><b><u>RAG amendments in developments</u></b></p> <p><b>-Midodrine</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•No more comments have been received</li></ul> <p><b>-Drugs for alcohol use</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• HF has had a conversation with the local authority in Calderdale and then with the provider of the alcohol service regarding concerns on adequate guidance and APC considering classifying some drugs as amber.</li><li>•HF has sent draft shared care guidelines to them and is waiting for a response.</li><li>•Bradford – TG met with the local authority who are currently retendering the service.</li><li>•Concerns highlighted on the number of patients currently receiving repeat prescriptions for chlordiazepoxide – currently 80 patients.</li><li>•Discussions amongst members on how providers are in similar position to SWYPFT in that they need to discharge patients after a course of treatment. Some patients</li></ul>		

<p>require abstinence drugs for a long period of time. It was noted that there needs to be guidelines for GPs on what happens after this point and what is the route of access back in. Discussions around whether this is shared care or giving guidance to continue to manage patients safely? FL to be asked to consider if shared care is the right thing. It was noted that CCG do not commission this service. SG is collecting comments on the shared care guidelines for another 4 weeks.</p> <p><b>-Modafanil</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•JY is looking at this with Dr Wislocka-Kryjak.</li> </ul> <p><b>Do we need a ‘green’ category on the website for products which have been reviewed and approved as ‘green’?</b></p> <p>SG had been asked by a practice pharmacist if a GP could prescribe Actikerall® – as the drug wasn’t on the APC’s website. SG looked at the list of classifications started by the CSU and found that the drug was ‘green’.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Discussions amongst members as to whether we need a green category on the website for products that have been reviewed and approved as ‘green’. Members felt there will be a lot of drugs which have not been brought to the APC’s attention and therefore won’t be classified. Members discussed including a statement on the website to reflect this. The website would need to say that the list is not exhaustive.</li> <li>•Leeds method is that any new drug is ‘black’ until it has been classified – members within the group did not feel this method would be appropriate.</li> <li>•Members of the group were all in agreement for a ‘green’ list to be added to the website along with a statement informing that drugs not included may mean that they haven’t been discussed at APC and to contact Medicines Management Teams for more information.</li> </ul> <p><b>Which specialists can initiate GSI drugs?</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Query received from a Mental Health Specialist Nurse Prescriber as to who can initiate GSI drugs? There was a discussion amongst members as to what qualifies as a ‘specialist’. Members felt that clarity would be needed on how requests for continuation would be sent to prescribers. Defence unions may have a view. SG</li> </ul>	<p><b>ACTION</b></p>	<p><b>SG to share list and proposed statement with HoMM for consideration</b></p>
---	----------------------	---

7	<p>asked CB how GPwSI become approved? In PCT days, the process was the GP would complete a qualification (e.g diploma), spend certain amount of time in clinic with a consultant, and then the consultant would then sign the GP off – followed up with yearly appraisal. The process since moving to CCGs is now done by revalidation and appraisal.</p> <p>Members discussed including a statement on the website that clearly defines what a specialist is. NICE or NHSE may already have a statement that we could use.</p> <p><b><u>Leeds Teaching Hospital – Red, Amber, Green list</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Leeds had sent a letter as they had had a number of instances where GPs from non-Leeds CCGs had referred patients to the Trust but then not wished to follow prescribing recommendations. The letter states that they strongly recommend that if a patient is seen as a tertiary referral the prescribing guideline from Leeds should be followed. TG said that this had been discussed with the regional heads of medicines management (HoMM). They felt that using the Leeds guidance may not always be appropriate for secondary referrals.</li> <li>HoMM had agreed to share local red, amber, green list with Leeds – SG confirmed that this has already been shared with Jo Aldred. There was discussion amongst members around the need to be working with Leeds towards moving to having one system. The STP may have a positive impact on this moving forward. This is one of the things which could be raised at the AHSN meeting in May.</li> <li>•TG explained that Bradford are experiencing a lot of issues with requests from Leeds e.g lidocaine patches, INR testing strips. These are not prescribed in primary care in Bradford as there is an AQP service. They do not feel that Leeds are taking this into consideration.</li> <li>•Discussion around transplant patients – Bradford still prescribing all transplant drugs in primary care – not repatriated yet.</li> <li>•CF &amp; renal transplant are the two they are supposed to be repatriating – hopefully within the next two years.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Shared Care Guidelines</u></b></p> <p><b>To discuss Lutrate as an alternative to 6 monthly triptorelin for prostate cancer</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•JY has adjusted the existing shared care guidelines for goserelin and leuproelin to include triptorelin. Feedback has been received from a pharmaceutical company suggesting that Lutrate could be the leuproelin product choice. JY has asked the</li> </ul>	<p><b>ACTION</b></p> <p><b>ACTION</b></p>	<p><b>SG to see whether NICE or NHSE has a statement for what qualifies as a specialist – SG to share wording for the statement before publishing on the website</b></p> <p><b>SG to change website so prescribing for new transplant patients is no longer ‘red’</b></p>
8			

	<p>company to clarify the strength of the Lutrate products. The monthly products are exactly the same dose but the three monthly one is twice the dose. JY said that Lutrate could be added as an option to the shared care guideline.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Members agreed that it should state that GPs can use whichever one they want regardless of what was used in hospital and that they can transfer to a longer acting one. It is then up to individual CCGs if they want to be more direct. JY explained that side effects have been removed from the shared care guideline, in order to shorten the document, with a link to summary of product characteristic website</li> </ul> <p><b>Shared care template specifies GPs to continue prescribing.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Members discussed the shared care guideline template, which currently says actions for GPs. Primary care now includes lots of independent prescribers. The group agreed that the template should state “primary care prescribers”.</li> </ul>	ACTION	JY to share the SCG with the group once comments received back
9	<p><b><u>Baby milk guidance</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Some CCGs have agreed restrictions to which baby milks will be prescribable. The baby milk guidance on the SWYAPC’s website will need amending. It was then noted what each of the CCGs have agreed:</li> <li>•North Kirklees, Greater Huddersfield and Wakefield CCGs have agreed that they are restricting prescribing of thickened preparations, ones for lactose intolerance and soya. Changes will be implemented from 1<sup>st</sup> April 2017.</li> <li>•Bradford and Calderdale CCGs to inform SG if they implement the same policy. Expenditure is high in the Bradford area.</li> </ul>	ACTION	SG to amend template
10	<p><b><u>Bisphosphonates for breast cancer</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The APC had been waiting to hear whether all providers will be using the model developed by Sheffield. It was noted that CHFT are using a slightly adapted one. Still awaiting responses from North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield. SG has received an FOI as to whether this has been implemented in Greater Huddersfield?</li> </ul> <p>TG explained that Bradford is not able to use the model developed by Sheffield due to capacity issues. TG to discuss with Ian Wallace. It was noted that patients may be able to tolerate IV better than oral. There were discussions amongst members as to whether it is acceptable to have different positions.</p>	ACTION	Guidance on the SWYAPC website will need amending – so that it is clear what each CCG is restricting





13	<p><b><u>D&amp;T and sub-group updates</u></b></p> <p><b>Safety</b></p> <p>Due to safety issues with paraffin-containing emollients, RM has written a safety bulletin for healthcare staff on the dangers of patients smoking and or being near to a naked flame whilst using these products. This safety bulletin will be circulated and added to the SWYAPC website.</p> <p><b>Wound Management Meeting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A the meeting last week, members discussed manufacturers offering providers a way of getting dressings off prescription and then community nurses taking out what they need to patients. One concern was that Coloplast had asked CHFT to add their products to the formulary. This was not appropriate.</li> <li>•TG explained that Bradford is doing a pilot but via NHS supplies, not a manufacturer. Bradford will be rolling this out across more hubs and felt that it makes things much more formulary based and reduces waste. Bradford are negotiating to give the budget to the district nurses as part of their CIP, with a gainshare arrangement.</li> </ul> <p><b>CHFT</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•JY informed the group that the Drug Safety Update produced by the MHRA regarding interactions with HIV medicines and inhaled corticosteroids had been prompted by discussions at the Safety Sub-group. JY felt that this was something positive that had happened locally and cascaded up.</li> <li>•It was noted that GPs are unsure about the number of patients currently on antiretrovirals. This still needs better communication between secondary care and primary care. Some patients are not giving consent to share this information. It was felt that patients should be made aware of the risks when refusing to consent to share.</li> </ul> <p><b>SWY Partnership</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•KD updated the members of the group on the purple lithium booklets that are part of</li> </ul>	ACTION	SG – circulate and add to website
----	---	--------	-----------------------------------



15	<p><b><u>Future Meetings</u></b></p> <p>Agenda items to be sent to <a href="mailto:Rebecca.martin2@greaterhuddersfieldccg.nhs.uk">Rebecca.martin2@greaterhuddersfieldccg.nhs.uk</a></p> <p><b><u>Next meeting</u></b></p> <p>23 May 2017, 1 – 4pm Ibbotson Room Broad Lea House</p>		
----	---	--	--